Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumr		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	man/	10/623,665		WEST ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Sumir	iai y	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Erik Kielin		2813	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Docketed new case</u>				
(1) <u>Erik Kielin</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Eric Prahl</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>3 December 2004</u>		Time:			
	☐ Applica No	nt's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:	<u> </u>				
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WH	IAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	9,
Part III.					
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The separate re	e examiner will provid ecord of the substance	e a writte e of the	en summary of interview, since	the substance
·					
En Will:					
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	cant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/623,665

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative on 11/29/04 --leaving a voice mail-- to determine if a Preliminary Amendment had been filed in the instant application, since the parent application (10/280,492) had identical claims 1-35, 1-31 of which were presently being examined, claims 32-35 having been restricted. Applicant's Representative indicated that he would file a Preliminary Amendment if allowed by Examiner. Applicant's Representative submitted a Preliminary Amendment on 12/3/04 amending some claims of presently-being-examined claims 1-31, canceling some of claims 1-31, and adding new claims.